INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Bhubaneshwar regional office

Mine code: 300RI08069 Mine file No : ORI/IRON/KJR/MCDR-55/BBS

(i) Name of the Inspecting : SRM) SHRI SUDIP RANJAN MAZUMDAR

Officer and ID No.

: Senior Mining Geologist (ii) Designation

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri Vasanthkumar Selvaraj, Mining Engineer

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 30/01/2020 (v) Prev.inspection date : 26/12/2019

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

: DEOJHAR Mine Name (a) 1.

: IBM/5262/2011 Registration NO. (b)

: A Fully Mechanised (C) Category

Type of Working (d) : Opencast

Postal address (e)

: ORISSA State : KEONJHAR District : DEOJHAR Village : BARBIL Taluka : DEOJHAR Post office : 758038 Pin Code

: 06767-272304 FAX No.

: diom.tmpl@gmail.com E-mail : 06767-272304,273448 Phone

(f) Police Station : Joda

: 04/10/1995 (g) First opening date

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

: DEOJHAR IRON MINE, DISTRICT KEONJHAR 2. Address for

ORISSA, PIN: 758 038. correspondance

: ORI0721 3. (a) Lease Number (b) Lease area : 34.37

: 50 (c) Period of lease

: 04/09/2044 (d) Date of Expiry

Main : IRON ORE 4. Mineral worked

25/01/2019

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : TARINI MINERALS (P) LTD.

AT/PO-BONEIKALA JODA

KEONJHAR KEONJHAR ORISSA

Phone: FAX :

Owner TARANI MINERALS (P) LTD

BONEIKALA JODA KEONJHAR

ORISSA

Phone: 72304 FAX : 72304

Mining Engineer

: SHRI VASANTHKUMAR SELVARAJ, Full Time Name

Qualification : B E MINING ENGG

Appointment/ : 25/03/2019

Termination date

Geologist

Name SHRI YASWANT KUMAR, Full Time

Qualification : M.SC GEOLOGY Appointment/ : 25/03/2019

Termination date

Manager

: SHRI VASANTHAKUMAR SELVARAJ

Qualification : B E MINING ENGG

Appointment/ : 01/07/2019

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 09/04/2002 Plan/Scheme of Mining Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 17/03/2008 Modif.approved Mining Scheme 24/10/2008 Modif.approved Mining Scheme 28/10/2010 Renewal under rule 24 MCR1960 05/12/2014 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	In 2018-19, there was no exploration proposal.	There was no exploration during the year 2018-19. Lease area has been explored under G1/G2 level of exploration as per UNFC. Lease area explored under G1: 28.842 Ha, G2: 5.523 Ha	There was no exploration during the year 2018-19. Lease area has been explored under G1/G2 level of exploration as per UNFC. Area explored under G1: 28.842 Ha, G2: 5.523 Ha
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis : or 2	In 2018-19, there was no exploration proposal.	There was no exploration during the year 2018-19. Lease area has been explored under G1/G2 level of exploration as per UNFC. Area explored under G1: 28.842 Ha, G2: 5.523 Ha	exploration during the year 2018-19. Lease area has been explored under G1/G2 level
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Not proposed	There was no exploration during the year 2018-19. Lease area has been explored under G1/G2 level of exploration as per UNFC. Area explored under G1: 28.842 Ha, G2: 5.523 Ha	exploration during the year 2018-19. Lease area has been explored under G1/G2 level
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Not proposed	Lease area has been explored under G1/G2 level of exploration as per UNFC. Area explored under G1: 28.842 Ha, G2 5.523 Ha	of exploration as

1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	As per review of mining plan approved dated 25.01.2019, Reserve and Resource as on 01.12.2018 are as follows: Reserve under 111 category is 624467.5 MT. Total reserve is 624467.5 MT. Resources under 211 category is 968857.5 MT. Total Reserve and Resource is 1593325 MT	Reserve and Resource as on 01.04.2019 are as follows as furnished in annual return for 2018-19: Reserve under 111 category is 607848 MT. Total reserve is 607848 MT. Resources under 211 category is 968857.5 MT. Total Reserve and Resource is 1576706 MT	Reserve and Resource as on 01.04.2019 are as follows as furnished in annual return for 2018-19: Reserve under 111 category is 607848 MT. Total reserve is 607848 MT. Resources under 211 category is 968857.5 MT. Total Reserve and Resource is 1576706 MT
1f	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc	In 2018-19, there was no exploration proposal.	There was no exploration during the year 2018-19. Lease area has been explored under G1/G2 level of exploration as per UNFC. Area explored under G1: 28.842 Ha, G2: 5.523 Ha	exploration during the year 2018-19. Lease area has been explored under G1/G2 level

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	170	In 2018-19, development took place in the existing quarry at northern part of the lease area.	In 2018-19, development took place in the existing quarry at northern part of the lease area.

2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	There is no proposal for top soil generation. Intercalated waste present within ore benches.	Generation of Top soil was nil. & Overburden Nil. Intercalated waste present within ore benches.	Generation of Top soil was nil. & Overburden Nil. Intercalated waste present within ore benches.
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	In 2018-19, the proposed stripping ratio was 1:0.016 t/m3	In 2018-19, the stripping ratio was 1: 0.007 t/m3. The ROM production was less than proposed. Hence, subsequent development was less.	In 2018-19, the stripping ratio was 1: 0.007 t/m3. The ROM production was less than proposed. Hence, subsequent development was less.
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	In 2018-19, there was no proposal for top soil generation.	In 2018-19, no top soil generated.	In 2018-19, no top soil generated.
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	In 2018-19, the proposal of overburden generation was 7457.5 m3	In 2018-19, the of overburden generated was 5400 MT. The ROM production was less than proposed. Hence, subsequent development was less.	In 2018-19, the of overburden generated was 5400 MT. The ROM production was less than proposed. Hence, subsequent development was less.
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc	In 2018-19, development proposed in the existing quarry at northern part of the lease area. In 2018-19, the proposed stripping ratio was 1:0.016 t/m3	In 2018-19, development took place in the existing quarry at northern part of the lease area. In 2018-19, the stripping ratio was 1: 0.007 t/m3. The ROM production was less than proposed. Hence, subsequent development was less	In 2018-19, development took place in the existing quarry at northern part of the lease area. In 2018-19, the stripping ratio was 1: 0.007 t/m3. The ROM production was less than proposed. Hence, subsequent development was less

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	In 2018-19, one number of pit was proposed for production	In 2018-19, one number of pit was put for production	In 2018-19, one number of pit was put for production
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	In 2018-19, ROM Production proposal was 500398.25 MT (including waste). Only saleable ore and subgrade amount to 485483.25 MT.	In 2018-19, ROM production was 400535 MT (excluding waste).	The ROM production proposal includes waste generation from quarry i.e., 14915 MT. The actual waste generated during 2018-19 was 5400 MT.
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	In 2018-19, Recovery of salable mineral from ROM was 443721.25 MT	In 2018-19, generation of salable mineral from ROM was 369245 MT.	The generation was less due to less ROM production
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	In 2018-19, the quantity of subgrade generation proposal was 41762 MT.	In 2018-19, the quantity of subgrade generated was 31290 MT.	The generation was less due to less ROM production
3е	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	+45 % Fe to 58 % Fe	+45 % Fe to 58 % Fe	+45 % Fe to 58 % Fe
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	In 2018-19, the quantity of subgrade generation proposal was 41762 MT.	In 2018-19, the quantity of subgrade generated was 31290 MT.	The generation was less due to less ROM production
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	+45 % Fe to 58 % Fe	+45 % Fe to 58 % Fe	+45 % Fe to 58 % Fe
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Mechanized method	Mechanized method	Mechanized method

Nil Any analysis or Not Proposed Nil 3i beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. The ore was Loosening of The ore was directly Provision of 3 j directly excavated rock mass was excavated and there was drilling and and there was no done by no drilling and blasting blasting in drilling and required due to nature drilling & mineral benches blasting required blasting. For of ore. due to nature of this purpose wagon drill of ore. 110 mm dia is being used with burden and spacing of 3.0 m and 3.5respectively. Heavy Earth moving Heavy Earth moving Heavy Earth Provision of machinery has been machinery has been mining moving machinery has deployed within the deployed within machineries in the mines to been deployed mines to produce mineral benches produce required within the required production of production of ore ore and its mines to and its transportation. produce transportation. required production of ore and its transportation Yes, the height if The height of Yes, the height if the Whether height 31 the bench bench is suitable for the bench is of benches in suitable for the the method of mining overburden and proposed is method of mining proposed in mining plan. mineral suitable 10m. proposed in mining for method of plan. mining proposed in MP/SOM

3m Total area As per the Area under excavation at Violation was covered under review of the end 2018-19 as issued under rule excavation/pits mining plan furnished in the 45 (7) of MCDR approved dated modified annual return 2017 for not 25.01.2019, submitted is 7.86 Ha furnishing lease the area under area utilization excavation at correctly. The the end of lessee has plan period subsequently will be 9.282 complied the violation by На. submitting revised annual return for 2018-19 correcting the lease area utilization. Ore to OB ratio In 2018-19, In 2018-19, the The ROM production for the pit/mine the proposed stripping ratio was 1: was less than during the year. stripping 0.007 t/m3proposed. Hence, subsequent ratio was 1:0.016 t/m3 development was less. Area under excavation at Violation was 30 Total area put As per the the end 2018-19 as issued under rule in use under review of 45 (7) of MCDR furnished in the different heads mining plan approved dated modified annual return at the end of 2017 for not furnishing lease 25.01.2019, submitted is 18.087 Ha year area utilization the total area correctly. The under different lessee has heads at the subsequently complied the end of plan violation by period will be submitting revised 24.235 Ha. annual return for 2018-19 correcting the lease area utilization. Year wise ROM Year wise ROM Year wise ROM Production of 3p Production: ROM mineral Production Production: 2014-15:-118282 2014-15:-118282 during the last Proposal: 2015-16:-Nil five year period 2014-15:-2015-16:-Nil 2016-17:- 1460095 2016-17:- 1460095 MT 1013760 MT as applicable 2017-18:- 862715 MT MT 2015-16:-2018-19:- 400535 MT 2017-18:- 862715 740784 MT 2016-17:-2018-19:- 400535 1499852 MT MT 2017-18:-862906 MT

> 2018-19:-500398 MT

General remarks In 2018-19, officers on

pit was (including amount to 485483.25 MT. was less. In 2018-19, Recovery of salable mineral from ROM was 443721.25 MT. In 2018-19, the proposed stripping ratio was 1:0.016 t/m3

In 2018-19, one number of inspecting one number of of pit was put for production. In 2018-19, method of mining proposed for ROM production was production. In 400535 MT (excluding 2018-19, ROM waste). In 2018-19, Production Recovery of salable proposal was mineral from ROM was 500398.25 MT 369245 MT. In 2018-19, the stripping ratio was waste). Only 1: 0.007 t/m3. The ROM saleable ore production was less than and subgrade proposed. Hence, subsequent development

In 2018-19, one number of pit was put for production. In 2018-19, ROM production was 400535 MT (excluding waste). In 2018-19, Recovery of salable mineral from ROM was 369245 MT. In 2018-19, the stripping ratio was 1: 0.007 t/m3. The ROM production was less than proposed. Hence, subsequent development was less.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks 4a

of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)

top soil generation. The total waste generated during the plan period will be disposed near saleable. the MLB 16 & 17 by extending the existing waste dump due south. Mineral reject /subgrade generated during the plan period will be stacked temporarily over 2 no of stacks.

Separate dumping There was no There was no generation proposal for of top soil. Only 5400 MT of intercalated waste generated have been utilized in retaining wall and road maintenance. The mineral reject generated from the mine is blended with high grade to make it

There was no generation of top soil. Only 5400 MT of intercalated waste generated have been utilized in retaining wall and road maintenance. The mineral reject generated from the mine is blended with high grade to make it saleable.

4b Location of There was no topsoil, OB and proposal for mineral reject top soil dumps generation.

There was no top soil generation. The total waste generated during the plan period will be the MLB 16 & 17 by extending the existing waste dump due south. Mineral reject /subgrade generated during the plan period will be stacked

temporarily over 2 no of stacks.

25.01.19, there is one waste dumps within lease

There was no proposal for generation of top soil.

Top soil Only 5400 MT of intercalated waste
The total generated have been utilized in retaining generated wall and road during the maintenance. The mineral plan period reject generated from the mine is blended with high grade to make it saleable.

In 2018-19, there was no generation of top soil. Only 5400 MT of intercalated waste generated have been utilized in retaining wall and road maintenance. The mineral reject generated from the mine is blended with high grade to make it saleable.

4c Number of dumps As per review within lease of mining plan area and outside approved dated of lease area 25.01.19,

Number of dumps As per review One number of waste dump within lease of mining plan within lease area.

One number of waste dump within lease area.

4d Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)

4 e

4 f

area
Dump is
outside the

Dump is outside the UPL

Dump is outside the UPL

Number of active One number of One number of dump and alive dumps. dump

One number of dump

Number of dead dumps.

Nil

UPL

Nil

Nil

4g Number of dumps established.

Number of dumps One no of dump One no of dump

One no of dump

4h Whether Retaining wall all along dumps 05.12.2014, are there.

As per the mining plan during the year 2018-19 only the existing proposal for and garland drain was proposed.

Maintenance work has been carried out. or garland drain approved dated Retaining wall and garland drain are not present all along the dumps. In the review of mining plan approved maintenance of dated 25.01.19, fresh retaining wall construction of retaining wall and garland drain have been proposed for the year 2019-20.

4i Length of Retaining wall all along dumps As per the mining plan 05.12.2014, during the year 2018-19 only the existing proposal for and garland drain was proposed.

Maintenance work has been carried out. or garland drain approved dated Retaining wall and garland drain are not present all along the dumps. In the review of mining plan approved maintenance of dated 25.01.19, fresh retaining wall construction of retaining wall and garland drain have been proposed for the year 2019-20.

4 j Number of settling ponds As per the mining plan 05.12.2014, during the year 2018-19 no fresh proposal for was proposed.

There was no fresh construction of settling approved dated pond during the year 2018-19. In the review of mining plan approved dated 25.01.19, fresh proposal for construction of settling construction pond have been proposed settling ponds during the year 2019-20.

Maintenance work has been carried out. Retaining wall and garland drain are not present all along the dumps. In the review of mining plan approved dated 25.01.19, fresh proposal for construction of retaining wall and garland drain have been proposed for the year 2019-20.

Maintenance work has been carried out. Retaining wall and garland drain are not present all along the dumps. In the review of mining plan approved dated 25.01.19, fresh proposal for construction of retaining wall and garland drain have been proposed for the year 2019-20.

There was no fresh construction of settling pond during the year 2018-19. In the review of mining plan approved dated 25.01.19, fresh proposal for construction of settling pond have been proposed during the year 2019-20.

4k Specific comments of inspecting dump management The total

top soil waste will be disposed near saleable. the MLB 16 & 17 by extending the existing waste dump due south.

There was no There was no generation proposal for of top soil. Only 5400 MT of intercalated waste officer on waste generation. generated have been utilized in retaining wall and road generated maintenance. The mineral during the reject generated from plan period the mine is blended with high grade to make it

There was no generation of top soil. Only 5400 MT of intercalated waste generated have been utilized in retaining wall and road maintenance. The mineral reject generated from the mine is blended with high grade to make it saleable.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	Not Proposed	Nil	The mine is not matured for reclamation by backfilling and subsequent rehabilitation
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Not Proposed	Nil	The mine is not matured for reclamation by backfilling and subsequent rehabilitation
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	proposal for topsoil generation or	There was no generation of top soil. The mine is not matured for reclamation by backfilling and subsequent rehabilitation	The mine is not matured for reclamation by backfilling and subsequent rehabilitation
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	Not Proposed	Nil	The mine is not matured for reclamation by backfilling and subsequent rehabilitation

5e

rehabilitation

General remarks There was no The mine is not matured The mine is not of inspecting proposal for for reclamation by matured for officers on reclamation by backfilling and backfilling subsequent backfilling and backfilling and backfilling subsequent reclamation etc. and subsequent rehabilitation

subsequent rehabilitation

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	Annual report on PMCP to be submitted as per Rule 26 (2) of MCDR, 2017	Annual report on PMCP for the year 2018-19 have been submitted	Annual report on PMCP for the year 2018-19 have been submitted
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	0.04 Ha area proposed for afforestation (green belt building) as rehabilitation of barren land within lease area	0.04 ha area afforestated (green belt building) as rehabilitation of barren land within lease area	0.04 ha area afforestated (green belt building) as rehabilitation of barren land within lease area
6c	afforestation done (ha).	0.04 Ha area proposed for afforestation (green belt building) as rehabilitation of barren land within lease area	0.04 ha area afforestated (green belt building) as rehabilitation of barren land within lease area	0.04 ha area afforestated (green belt building) as rehabilitation of barren land within lease area
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	saplings proposed for plantation (in green belt		800 nos of saplings planted. At the survival rate of 80%, 640 nos of saplings survived. Data as funished in annual return on PMCP for the year 2018-19.
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	Not Specified	24870 nos of saplings planted.	24870 nos of saplings planted.

6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	Not Proposed	Nil	Nil
6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Rs 15000/-	Rs 24000/-	Rs 24000/-
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	Not Proposed	Nil	The mine is not matured for reclamation by backfilling and subsequent rehabilitation
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	Not Proposed	Nil	The mine is not matured for reclamation by backfilling and subsequent rehabilitation
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area	Not Proposed	Nil	Nil
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	Not Proposed	Nil	Nil
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means.	Not Proposed	Nil	Nil

6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	saplings proposed for plantation (in green belt	800 nos of saplings planted. At the survival rate of 80%, 640 nos of saplings survived. Data as funished in annual return on PMCP for the year 2018-19.	800 nos of saplings planted. At the survival rate of 80%, 640 nos of saplings survived. Data as funished in annual return on PMCP for the year 2018-19.
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha)	proposed for afforestation (green belt	rehabilitation of barren land within lease area	0.04 ha area afforestated (green belt building) as rehabilitation of barren land within lease area
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation	Plantation	Plantation	Plantation
6p	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer	Air, Water, Noise Monitoring proposed	Air, Water, Noise Monitoring carried out.	Air, Water, Noise Monitoring carried out.

zone)

6q compliance and per Rule 26 progressive closure

(2) of MCDR, 2017. 0.04 Ha building) as (green belt area. 640 nos. year 2018-19. of saplings proposed for plantation (in green belt building) as rehabilitation of barren land within lease area

General remarks Annual report Annual report on PMCP of inspecting on PMCP to be for the year 2018-19 officers on PMCP submitted as have been submitted. 0.04 ha area afforestated (green belt operations etc. area proposed rehabilitation of barren land within lease area. afforestation 800 nos of saplings planted. At the survival building) as rate of 80% , 640 nos of nos of saplings rehabilitation saplings survived. Data of barren land as funished in annual within lease return on PMCP for the

Annual report on PMCP for the year 2018-19 have been submitted. 0.04 ha area afforestated (green belt building) as rehabilitation of barren land within lease area. 800 planted. At the survival rate of 80% , 640 nos of saplings survived. Data as funished in annual return on PMCP for the year 2018-19.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Grade wise sorting	Grade wise sorting	Grade wise sorting
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Mechanical	Mechanical	Mechanical
7c		Ore:+58 % Fe Mineral	Lumps: 62% Fe to below	Gradewise production of processed ore as furnished in annual return for 2018-19
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	Not Proposed	Nil	Nil

General remarks Grade wise Grade wise sorting. Dry of inspecting sorting processing through sorting. Dry officer on proposed in screening and crushing processing through Mineral mines is practiced. screening and crushing is practiced. issues

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work		Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	proposal for	There was no gene of top soil.	eration	There was no generation of top soil.
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	There was no proposal for top soil generation and its subsequent utilization.		eration	There was no generation of top soil.

Separate dumps There was no 8c for overburden, proposal for waste rock, rejects and

fines (Rule 33)

top soil generation. The total waste generated

during the plan period will be disposed near saleable. the MLB 16 & 17 by extending the

existing waste dump due south. Mineral reject /subgrade

generated during the plan period will be stacked temporarily

over 2 no of stacks.

Not Proposed Nil

fines dumps for restoring the

Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands

land to its original use

affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps

etc)

Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and

8d

8e

There was no generation of top soil. Only 5400 MT of intercalated waste generated have been utilized in retaining wall and road maintenance. The mineral reject generated from the mine is blended with high grade to make it

There was no generation of top soil. Only 5400 MT of intercalated waste generated have been utilized in retaining wall and road maintenance. The mineral reject generated from the mine is blended with high grade to

make it saleable.

Nil

Nil

Not Proposed Nil

8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Not Specified	800 nos of saplings planted. At the survival rate of 80%, 640 nos of saplings survived. Data as funished in annual return on PMCP for the year 2018-19.	800 nos of saplings planted. At the survival rate of 80%, 640 nos of saplings survived. Data as funished in annual return on PMCP for the year 2018-19.
8g	Survival rate	Survival rate: 85%	Survival rate: 80%	Survival rate: 80%
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	sprinkling	Water sprinkling is being carried out.	Water sprinkling is being carried out.
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area		Aesthetic beauty in and around mines is maintained.	Aesthetic beauty in and around mines is maintained.

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	Monthly returns and annual return for 2018-19 have been submitted.	Monthly returns and annual return for 2018-19 have been submitted.	Monthly returns and annual return for 2018-19 have been submitted.
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Information on Mining engineer, Geologist and Manager has been furnished in annual return submitted for 2018-19.	Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager appointed in mines.	Violation was issued under rule 55 (3) of MCDR 2017 for non-appointment of whole time mining engineer which was subsequently complied

9c Scrutiny of for area under pits, reclaimed year as

Lease area for 2018-19. current by plant buildings, residential, welfare buildings & roads: 1.811 Ha, Other purpose (dump, stack yard etc.): 8.416 Ha etc

Lease area (surface Annual return on (surface area) area) utilization at the land use pattern utilization at end of the year as the end of the furnished in annual return for 2018-19. area, dumps etc. furnished in Covered under current annual return working: 7.86 Ha, Occupied by plant Covered under buildings, residential, welfare buildings & working: 7.86 roads: 1.811 Ha, Other Ha, Occupied purpose (dump, stack yard etc): 8.416 Ha etc

Violation was issued under rule 45 (7) of MCDR 2017 for not furnishing lease area utilization correctly. The lessee has subsequently complied the violation by submitting revised annual return for 2018-19 correcting the lease area utilization.

9d Scrutiny of Annual return on number of afforestation

In 2018-19, saplings 500 nos. of saplings and outside lease area 1000 nos of saplings.

In 2018-19, number of saplings planted within lease area is 500 nos. planted within of saplings and outside lease area is lease area 1000 nos of saplings.

Data as furnished in annual return for 2018-19

9e Scrutiny of mineral reject 58% Fe) generation (Grade and quantity)

generated during 2018-19 is 31290 tones

Mineral reject Mineral reject (45% to Annual return on (45% to below below 58% Fe) generated during 2018-19 is 31290 tones

Mineral reject (45% to below 58% Fe) generated during 2018-19 is 31290 tones

9f Scrutiny of graded ore

ore.

29105.840

Closing stock Closing stock at mine Annual return on at mine head head of processed ore. ROM stock and/or of processed Lump (62% to below 65% Fe): 29105.840 tones. Lump (62% to Fines (55% to below 58% below 65% Fe): Fe): 31290 tones, Fines (58% to below 60% Fe): tones. Fines 179115 tones, Fines (62%

Data as furnished in annual return for 2018-19

(55% to below to below 65% Fe): 58% Fe): 31290 3603.29 tones. tones, Fines (58% to below 60% Fe): 179115 tones, Fines (62% to below 65% Fe): 3603.29 tones.

Scrutiny of Mine price and

9a

Fe is Rs1036.0, Fines 58% Fe to below 60% Fe is Rs 1750.0 and Fines 62% Fe to below 65% is Rs 2177.44. Production Cost per tonne: Rs 1074.98

Ex-Mines price Ex-Mines price (in Rs Annual return on (in Rs per per tonne) of Lump of sale value, Ex. tonne) of Lump grade 62 % Fe to below of grade 62 % 65% Fe is Rs. 4500.83 production cost Fe to below and fines 55% Fe to 65% Fe is Rs. below 58% Fe is 4500.83 and Rs1036.0, Fines 58% Fe fines 55% Fe to below 60% Fe is Rs to below 58% 1750.0 and Fines 62% Fe to below 65% is Rs 2177.44. Production Cost per tonne: Rs 1074.98

Ex-Mines price (in Rs per tonne) of Lump of grade 62 % Fe to below 65% Fe is Rs. 4500.83 and fines 55% Fe to below 58% Fe is Rs1036.0, Fines 58% Fe to below 60% Fe is Rs 1750.0 and Fines 62% Fe to below 65% is Rs 2177.44. Production Cost per tonne: Rs 1074.98

Scrutiny of 9h Annual return on fixed assets

Rs.89366/-

Rs.89366/-

Data as furnished in annual return for 2018-19

9k

Annual return on (3.9 cum mining machineries

capcity): 2 capacity): 8 nos, Backhoe (2.1 cum)capacity: 2

nos, Backhoe (0.5 cum capacity): 1 no etc.

Scrutiny of Wheel loader Wheel loader (3.9 cum capcity): 2 nos, Truck (30 tonne capacity): 8 nos, Truck (30 nos, Backhoe (2.1 cum tonne capacity: 2 nos, Backhoe (0.5 cum capacity): 1 no etc.

Wheel loader (3.9 cum capcity): 2 nos, Truck (30 tonne capacity): 8 nos, Backhoe (2.1 cum capacity: 2 nos, Backhoe (0.5 cum capacity): 1 no etc.

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

Violation observed			Show couse position		
Rule NO.	Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	
Rule 45(7)	11/02/2020	20/04/2020			
MCDR17 Rule 55(1)(3)11/02/2020	20/04/2020		Age i sig	

Date :

IKI SODIP KANDAN MAZOMDAK

Indian Bureau of Mines